

Francesco Introna, Jr.,<sup>1</sup> M.D.; Theodore W. Suman,<sup>2</sup> Ph.D.; and John E. Smialek,<sup>3</sup> M.D.

## Sarcosaprophagous Fly Activity in Maryland

---

**REFERENCE:** Introna, F., Jr., Suman, T. W., and Smialek, J. E., "Sarcosaprophagous Fly Activity in Maryland," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, JFSCA, Vol. 36, No. 1, Jan. 1991, pp. 238-243.

**ABSTRACT:** Eighty-six successful rearings of sarcosaprophagous flies characteristic of the first successional insect wave of infestation of a corpse were conducted under field conditions in Maryland. Different species were observed to be active during spring and summer. The development times for the immature stages of each species, with the related temperatures at which the development occurred are reported for both seasons studied.

**KEYWORDS:** pathology and biology, entomology, flies, necrophagous flies, Maryland, time of death

The age of the larvae of sarcosaprophagous flies found on a corpse [1-3] can be very helpful for forensic scientists in estimating the minimum time of death of a decomposed body.

One must realize that the assemblage of carrion flies found on a corpse is determined by their geographic distribution; that is, different flies can be found during the same time period on corpses exposed in different geographic regions. Furthermore, the development of the immature stages of carrion flies is temperature related—the microclimatic conditions of the specific location where the larvae are exposed on the body have a strong influence on the larval growth [1,3,4]. It is essential that these two factors be evaluated before applying entomological criteria to defining the time of death.

Ecological studies of insect fauna important to forensic science, occurring in different geographic regions and during different seasons, are therefore extremely important. They represent a major point of reference by which the entomological data gathered from a body can be compared.

Recent forensic entomological studies conducted in the United States by Rodriguez and Bass [5,6] and by Goff and Odom [7] provide a useful ecological data bank for the analysis of insect fauna in specific geographic locations and habitats. A review of the literature, however, produced no equivalent ecological studies on carrion flies in Maryland. For this reason, we decided to determine which species of necrophagous flies were

Presented at the XIV Congress of the International Academy of Legal Medicine and Social Medicine, Section on Medico-Legal Entomology, Liege, Belgium, 11-17 Sept. 1988. Received for publication 15 Aug. 1980; revised manuscript received 20 Feb. 1990; accepted for publication 26 Feb. 1990.

<sup>1</sup>Researcher, Institute of Legal Medicine, University of Bari, Bari, Italy; also, fellow in forensic pathology, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD.

<sup>2</sup>Entomologist, Anne Arundel Community College, Arnold, MD.

<sup>3</sup>Chief medical examiner, Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, Baltimore, MD.

active in Maryland and what the developmental times of their immature stages were during the spring and summer seasons.

### Material and Methods

The site selected for the study was a partially shaded wood adjacent to agricultural fields near Easton, Maryland. This represented typical geographical conditions for Central and Eastern Maryland. It was close to an urban area as well as to agricultural fields. It was also near the Chesapeake Bay.

The study was divided into two individual time periods: the spring period (15 April to 15 June 1988) and the summer period (1 July to 30 Aug. 1988).

The minimum and maximum daily temperatures, humidity, and general weather conditions for the selected site were continuously recorded for the two study periods using hygrothermograph.

A standard technique of observation and collection was implemented [2]. Two open glass jars containing 200 g of fresh animal liver tissue were placed on the ground each morning. When eggs or first instar larvae of carrion flies were observed, the jars were covered with a fine mesh cloth (to keep out other insects) and then placed at the same location in a screened cage (only to protect them from vertebrate animals). At the beginning of the larval migration, the jars were placed in buckets containing 10 cm of vermiculite to allow pupation.

Observations were made three times a day at 6 to 8 a.m., 1 to 3 p.m., and 6 to 8 p.m. Desiccation, total consumption of the pabulum, and rain flooding of the buckets were carefully avoided.

For each completed rearing, specimens of adult flies were killed and pinned. Samples of eggs, larvae, and pupae were preserved in 70% alcohol.

### Results

More than 240 open jars were exposed at the research site during the two study periods. Of these, eggs and larvae were obtained in only 147. Forty rearings terminated at the larval stage, while 21 stopped at the pupal stage. The arrested development was due partly to parasitism and possibly to low nocturnal temperatures.

Eighty-six complete rearings were obtained. In five cases, rearings of more than one species were obtained in the same container. The results are reported in Tables 1 through 5.

The species of carrion flies consistent with the first successional insect wave active in Maryland are listed in Table 1. Calliphoridae (blowflies) were much more common than the Sarcophagidae (flesh flies). No flies of the family Muscidae were collected.

TABLE 1—*The sarcosaprophagous flies active in Maryland.*

---

Family Calliphoridae (blowflies)
<i>Calliphora livida</i> (Hall)
<i>Calliphora vicina</i> (Robineau-Desvoidy)
<i>Lucilia illustris</i> (Meigen)
<i>Phaenicia sericata</i> (Meigen)
<i>Phaenicia caeruleiviridis</i> (Macquart)
<i>Phormia regina</i> (Meigen)
Family Sarcophagidae (flesh flies)
<i>Sarcophaga bullata</i> (Parker)
<i>Sarcophaga sarracenioides</i> (Aldrich)

---

TABLE 2—Life history of carrion flies observed in Maryland during the spring.<sup>a</sup>

Species	Number of Rearings	Eggs Hatching, h		Larval Stage, days		Pupation, days		Total Immature Stages, days	
		min	max	min	max	min	max	min	max
<i>Calliphora livida</i>	3	24	50	8	10	13	15	23	25
<i>Calliphora vicina</i>	14	24	64	8	10	10	15	22	25
<i>Lucilia illustris</i>	6	20	54	7	10	10	14	20	24
<i>Phaenicia caeruleiviridis</i>	4	20	56	7	19	10	15	22	32
<i>Phormia regina</i>	11	20	50	8	16	10	20	20	32
<i>Sarcophaga bullata</i>	3	...	...	8	14	11	16	23	30

<sup>a</sup>Temperature range = 8 to 32°C.

TABLE 3—Life history of carrion flies observed in Maryland during the summer.<sup>a</sup>

Species	Number of Rearings	Eggs Hatching, h		Larval Stage, days		Pupation, days		Total Immature Stages, days	
		min	max	min	max	min	max	min	max
<i>Phaenicia sericata</i>	8	18	36	6	7	6	8	13	17
<i>Phaenicia caeruleiviridis</i>	14	20	48	8	14	9	16	23	26
<i>Phormia regina</i>	15	16	90	3	9	4	7	13	15
<i>Sarcophaga bullata</i>	7	...	...	6	7	13	14	19	20
<i>Sarcophaga sarracenioides</i>	1	...	...		7		13		20

<sup>a</sup>Temperature range = 11 to 37°C.

TABLE 4—The mean times and ranges of the developmental stages of the species of carrion flies active in Maryland in relation to the temperature, observed in the spring.

Species	Eggs Hatching			Larval Stage			Pupation		
	Hours, mean	Temperature, °C mean min-max		Days, mean	Temperature, °C mean min-max		Days, mean	Temperature, °C mean min-max	
<i>Calliphora livida</i>	34	17	11-25	9	20	12-26	14	18	8-27
<i>Calliphora vicina</i>	36	16	8-27	9	19	10-32	13	16	8-29
<i>Lucilia illustris</i>	30	19	13-25	8	22	15-30	11	19	8-31
<i>Phaenicia caeruleiviridis</i>	38	20	13-27	11	20	8-29	12	21	8-30
<i>Phormia regina</i>	36	21	10-25	10	21	10-32	15	19	8-31
<i>Sarcophaga bullata</i>	...	...	...-...	12	20	8-32	14	19	8-32

TABLE 5—The mean times and ranges of the developmental stages of the species of carrion flies active in Maryland in relation to the temperature, observed in the summer.

Species	Eggs Hatching			Larval Stage			Pupation		
	Hours, mean	Temperature, °C		Days, mean	Temperature, °C		Days, mean	Temperature, °C	
		mean	min-max		mean	min-max		mean	min-max
<i>Phaenicia sericata</i>	22	24	13-36	6	27	11-34	7	28	11-36
<i>Phaenicia caeruleiviridis</i>	32	24	12-32	7	26	12-37	12	29	17-37
<i>Phormia regina</i>	24	27	13-37	6	27	11-37	6	25	12-32
<i>Sarcophaga bullata</i>	...	...	...-...	7	28	16-37	13	28	13-37
<i>Sarcophaga sarracenioides</i>	...	...	...-...	7	24	14-37	13	24	12-37

Tables 2 and 3 list the species of flies observed and the related number of rearings obtained during the spring and summer seasons. For each species, the minimum and maximum times for egg hatching and for larval and pupal development are reported. The minimum and maximum temperatures recorded for the experimental site are listed in the footnotes to the tables.

Tables 4 and 5 list, for each study period, the mean time of each developmental stage of the species observed, with the mean values of temperature at which the development occurred.

In Table 6, the mean time necessary for each species to complete its development (from egg to adult) is compared for the two seasons studied. The time values recorded are given with the mean temperatures observed. The minimum and maximum temperatures are in parentheses.

TABLE 6—Comparison of the spring and summer results for the time periods necessary for total development of the species of carrion flies observed, with the related temperatures.

Species	Spring			Summer		
	Days, mean	Temperature, °C		Days, mean	Temperature, °C	
		mean	min-max		mean	min-max
<i>Calliphora livida</i>	24	19	8-27	...	...	...-...
<i>Calliphora vicina</i>	23	18	8-32	...	...	...-...
<i>Lucilia illustris</i>	22	20	8-31	...	...	...-...
<i>Phaenicia sericata</i>	...	...	...-...	14	27	11-36
<i>Phaenicia caeruleiviridis</i>	23	20	8-30	18	28	12-37
<i>Phormia regina</i>	25	20	8-32	13	26	11-37
<i>Sarcophaga bullata</i>	26	19	8-32	19	28	13-37
<i>Sarcophaga sarracenioides</i>	...	...	...-...	20	24	12-37

## Discussion

The species *Calliphora livida*, *Calliphora vicina*, and *Lucilia illustris* were observed only during the springtime, while the species *Phaenicia sericata* and *Sarcophaga sarracenioides* were observed only during the summer. These results confirm previous observations [8,9].

*Phaenicia ceruleiviridis*, *Phormia regina*, and *Sarcophaga bullata* were active in both the spring and summer.

During the spring period, *Calliphora vicina* and *Phormia regina* were the most common species, while, in summer, *Phaenicia caeruleiviridis* and *Phormia regina* were more common (Tables 2 and 3).

With the exception of the larviporous species of the family Sarcophagidae—*Sarcophaga bullata* and *Sarcophaga sarracenioides*—all of the other flies observed laid eggs on the tissue.

In our experiments, the time between the first exposure of the organic material and observation of oviposition was between 1 and 6 h when the weather conditions included temperatures between 15 and 27°C, light winds, sunny days, and no direct continuous exposure of the organic material to the sun.

Oviposition was not observed during strong wind, rainy periods, or during the night. Temperatures below 10°C appeared to inhibit oviposition.

With the exception of *Phaenicia sericata* and *Phormia regina*, which have been observed to deposit eggs during the hottest hours of the day, all of the other species deposited eggs more frequently during the first hours of the morning, after the early morning low temperatures had risen slightly, but while the air was still cool. Some oviposition did occur in the later afternoon.

For all species observed, the eggs were deposited in protected irregularities of the exposed medium, away from direct exposure to the sun.

The time needed for the eggs to hatch appeared to be strongly influenced by the nocturnal drop in temperature. Protracted night temperatures below 10°C have delayed the egg hatching for several days (Tables 2 through 5). With the seasonal increase in temperatures, a shorter time for the egg hatching was observed. However, even in the summertime, a sudden drop in temperature delayed egg hatching for days. In one case observed in June, the nocturnal temperature dropped to 12°C for two consecutive days—delaying the egg hatching of *Phormia regina* for four days.

The duration of the larval stage was directly related to the ambient temperature. Temperatures below 10°C delayed larval development. An increase in the duration of the larval stage of up to 19 days was observed in springtime for *Phaenicia caeruleiviridis* when the temperature dropped to 8°C for two consecutive nights. Wide night/day temperature ranges appeared to be lethal for some larvae.

The larvae appeared to be more inhibited by low temperatures than the pupae of the same species. This may be attributed to their different physical locations: the larvae feeding on the organic material were more exposed to ambient temperatures than the pupae, which were protected by the vermiculite.

Only the pupae of *Phormia regina* were inhibited by low temperatures. This can be attributed to their habit of pupating on the surface of the soil, exposing themselves to the same ambient temperatures as the larvae. The same drop in temperature described above delayed the pupation time up to 20 days for *Phormia regina*.

Our results indicate that an increase of temperature speeds up the pupal development of *Phormia regina* for the reasons noted above. The pupal development of the other blowflies was relatively stable and did not show temperature-related variations.

The time for complete development of the necrophagous flies examined in Maryland was shorter in summer than in spring as a result of the influence of increased ambient temperatures on the larval development time. We observed that the higher the mean

temperature, the faster the larval growth if there was not an unusually wide day/night temperature gradient.

#### *Acknowledgment*

The authors would like to thank Dr. Norman E. Woodley, of the U.S.D.A. Systemic Laboratory of the Smithsonian Museum of National History in Washington, DC, for the cadaveric flies species identification.

#### **References**

- [1] Nuorteva, P., "Sarcosaprophagous Insects as Forensic Indicators," *Forensic Medicine: A Study in Trauma and Environmental Hazards*, Vol. II, *Physical Trauma*, C. G. Tedeschi, Ed., W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1977, pp. 1072-1095.
- [2] Smith, K. G. V., *A Manual of Forensic Entomology*, British Museum (Natural History), Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, 1986.
- [3] Erzinclioglu, Y. Z., "Areas of Research in Forensic Entomology," *Medicine, Science and the Law*, Vol. 26, No. 4, 1986, pp. 273-278.
- [4] Lord, W. D. and Burger, J. F., "Collection and Preservation of Forensically Important Entomological Materials," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, Vol. 28, No. 4, Oct. 1983, pp. 936-944.
- [5] Rodriguez, W. C. and Bass, W. M., "Insect Activity and Its Relationship to Decay Rates of Human Cadavers in East Tennessee," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, Vol. 28, No. 2, April 1983, pp. 423-432.
- [6] Rodriguez, W. C. and Bass, W. M., "Decomposition of Buried Bodies and Methods That May Aid Their Location," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, Vol. 30, No. 3, July 1985, pp. 836-852.
- [7] Goff, M. L. and Odom, C. B., "Forensic Entomology in the Hawaiian Islands," *American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 1987, pp. 45-50.
- [8] Altamura, B. M. and Introna, F., Jr., *Ditteri Cadaverici ed Epoca della Morte*, *Tip. Nazionale*, Bari, Italy, 1981.
- [9] Hall, D. G., *Blowflies of North America*, Thomas Say Foundation, Baltimore, MD, 1948.

Address requests for reprints or additional information to  
 Francesco Introna, Jr., M.D.  
 Istituto di Medicina Legale  
 Policlinico, Piazza G. Cesare  
 70100 Bari, Italy